

MODULI SPACES OF STABLE MAPS AND GW THEORY, II

RAHUL PANDHARIPANDE*

(*) Notes taken by Dhyan Aranha, all errors should be attributed to me and my ignorance about the subject. Corrections and suggestions are welcome, and should be sent to: dhyan.aranha@gmail.com.

We start where ended last time with the notion of cohomological field theory. Take, $(V, \eta, 1)$ (the state space), $\Omega = \{\Omega_{g,n}\}_{2g-2+n>0}$. We had a set of three axioms: (I) - Σ_n -invariance, (II)-splitting, (III)-forgetting the tail.

Where does the quantum cohomology come from? Whenever we have a cohomological field theory, say the one above, one gets a quantum product, $*$, on V . We call $(V, *, 1)$ the quantum cohomology ring and the way the product, $*$, is defined is:

$$\eta(v_1 * v_2, v_3) := \Omega_{0,3}(v_1, v_2, v_3) \in \mathbb{C}$$

(we can define the product in terms of the pairing because η is non-degenerate)

Exercise: Check $(V, *, 1)$ is a unital associative algebra. (Hint: Use $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,4}$).

Givental-Teleman: We say that CohFT is semi-simple if $(V, *, 1)$ is semi-simple. Which means that $(V, *, 1)$ has a basis of idempotents.

In the world of CohFT's some of them come from GW-theory, but some don't. Similarly some are semi-simple and some are not, and also the collection of semi-simple ones doesn't necessarily coincide with the collections of ones coming from GW-Theory.

Let's fix a CohFT, Ω . with state space $(V, \eta, 1)$. Let

$$R(z) := \text{id} + zR_1 + z^2R_2 + \dots$$

where

$$R_m \in \text{End}(V).$$

sometimes people write

$$R(z) \in \text{id} + z\text{End}(V)[[z]].$$

Anyway, they should satisfy the so called symplectic condition:

$$R(z) \cdot R^*(-z) = \text{id}$$

(where $R^*(z)$ means the adjoint with respect to η).

Definition 0.0.1. *The Givental group is then the collection of all such $R(z) \in \text{id} + z\text{End}(V)[[z]]$ which satisfy the symplectic condition.*

Given an element R in the Givental group we can form a new CohFT, which we'll denote as $R\Omega$. So we have to say how to define $R\Omega_{g,n}(v_1, \dots, v_n)$. Roughly,

$$R\Omega_{g,n}(v_1, \dots, v_n) := \sum_{\Gamma \in G_{g,n}} \frac{1}{|Aut(\Gamma)|} i_{\gamma^*} \left(\prod_{\text{vertices}} C_v \prod_{\text{legs}} C_l \prod_{\text{edges}} C_e \right)$$

where $G_{g,n} = \{\text{all dual graphs}\}$ and we have

(i) The C_v 's are called the vertex contribution and is just

$$C_v := \Omega_{g(v), n(v)}$$

where $g(v)$ and $n(v)$ denote the genus and the number of half-edges and legs of the vertex.

(i) The C_l 's are the leg contribution is the $End(V)$ -valued cohomology class

$$C_l := R(\psi_l)$$

where $\psi_l \in H^2(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g(v), n(v)}, \mathbb{C})$ is the cotangent class at the marking corresponding to the leg.

(iii) The edge contribution is

$$C_e := \frac{\eta^{-1} - R(\psi'_e)\eta^{-1}R(\psi''_e)^\top}{\psi'_e + \psi''_e}$$

where ψ'_e and ψ''_e are the cotangent classes at the node which represents the edge e . The symplectic condition guarantees that this is well defined.

Remark 0.0.2. For an in-depth explanation of the formula and definitions I (Dhyan) recommend "Cohomological field theory calculations" by Pandharipande; arxiv: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1712.02528.pdf>.

Result: The gadget $R\Omega$ is a CohFT, without the axiom (III) for the unit. (we'll fix this in a moment). Also, this defines a group action of Giv on those CohFT's which don't satisfy axiom (III).

There is another group action given via translation. Let

$$T = T_2 z^2 + T_3 z^3 + \dots \in V[[z]]$$

where $T_m \in V$. We define $T\Omega_{g,n}(v_1, \dots, v_n)$ as

$$T\Omega_{g,n}(v_1, \dots, v_n) := \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} p_{m^*}(\Omega_{g,n+m}(v_1, \dots, v_n, T(\psi_{n+1}), \dots, T(\psi_{n+m}))$$

where $p_m : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+m} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ forgets the last m markings.

Now we can define an action of the Givental-Teleman group on CohFT's: $R_\bullet \Omega = RT\Omega$ where $T(z) = z((\text{id} - R(z)) * 1)$ the actions on the RHS are the ones we just defined.

Result: $R_\bullet \Omega$ is a CohFT with unit.

Statement of Givental-Teleman classification of semi-simple CohFT's : We only

one more notion, which is called the topological part.

Let Ω be a CohFT with unit (i.e. satisfies axiom (III)). Then it is possible to define a new CohFT by taking the degree 0 part:

$$\omega_{g,n}(v_1, \dots, v_n) = [\Omega_{g,n}(v_1, \dots, v_n)]^0 \in H^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{C})$$

Note that $\omega_{g,n}$ is a cohomological field theory with unit (i.e. satisfies axiom (III)).

Theorem 0.0.3. *If Ω is a semi-simple CohFT with unit. Then there exists a unique $R \in \text{Giv}$ so that*

$$R_\bullet \omega = \Omega$$

Why is this useful? Well, because ω is much simpler than Ω . More precisely ω is determined just by $(V^0, *, 1)$ and η . Why is that?

$$\omega_{g,n}(v_1, \dots, v_n) = [\Omega_{g,n}(v_1, \dots, v_n)]^0 = \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \Omega_{g,n}(v_1, \dots, v_n) \cdot [C, p_1, \dots, p_n].$$

Then we can use the splitting axiom to compute. In the Gromov-Witten case this is the GW-theory with fixed complex structure on the domain.

How to find R?

Example: Solution of r -spin theory.

Let $r \geq 2$ integer, V a vector space of dimension $r - 1$ with basis $\{e_0, \dots, e_{r-2}\}$ and $\eta(e_a, e_b) = \delta_{a+b, r-2}$, $e_0 = 1$. This information determines the state space. Let $W_{g,n}^r$ denote the r -spin CohFT.

$$W_{g,n}^r(e_{a_1}, \dots, e_{a_n}) \in H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$$

which is usually called a Witten's class of degree

$$D_{g,n}^r(a_1, \dots, a_n) := \frac{(r-2)(g-1) + \sum a_i}{r}$$

(if the numerator is not divisible by r then the class is zero). We have a moduli space of r -spin curves

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,(a_1, \dots, a_n)}^r & [C, p_1, \dots, p_n, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes r} \simeq \omega_C(-\sum_{i=1}^r a_i p_i)] \\ \downarrow & \\ \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} & [C, p_1, \dots, p_n] \end{array}$$

Now take $g = 0$, we have (A. Pixton):

$$\# = \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}} W_n^r(A) = \frac{(n-1)!}{r^{n-3}} \dim[\rho_{r-2-a_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_{r-2-a_n}]^{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$$

where $A = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, $D_{0,n}^r(A) = n - 3$ and the ρ_k is the k^{th} symmetric power of the standard 2-dimensional representation, ρ_1 , of \mathfrak{sl}_2 , that is $\rho_k = \text{Sym}^k(\rho_1)$.

It turns out as defined $W_{g,n}^r$ is not semi-simple. But there is a way to get around it. Let $\gamma \in V$ we can define a shifted r -spin theory

$$W_{g,n}^{r,\gamma}(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n) = \sum \frac{1}{m!} p_{m*}(W_{g,n+m}^r(v_1, \dots, v_n, \gamma, \dots, \gamma))$$

Now take $\gamma = (0, \dots, 0, re_{r-2})$. Then we get a new CohFT: $W_{g,n}^{r, re_{r-2}}$.

Theorem 0.0.4. (Pandharipande, Pixton, Zvonkine) *The CohFT, $W_{g,n}^{r, re_{r-2}}$ is semi-simple.*

The way you prove it you calculate the algebra $(V, \hat{*}, 1) \simeq$ Verlinde algebra of level r for \mathfrak{sl}_2 .

We can write down the idempotent basis for this algebra:

$$V_k = \sqrt{\frac{2}{r}} \sum_{a=0}^{r-2} \sin\left(\frac{(a+1)k\pi}{r}\right) e_a$$

and multiplication

$$V_k \hat{*} V_l = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{r}{2}}}{\sin\left(\frac{k\pi}{r}\right)} V_k \delta_{k,l}$$

where

$$\eta(V_k, V_l) = (-1)^{k-1} \delta_{k,l}.$$

Finally you can write down the topological part of the shifted thing:

$$\hat{\omega}_{g,n}^r(e_{a_1}, \dots, e_{a_n}) = \left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^{g-1} \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} \frac{(-1)^{(k-1)(g-1)} \prod_{i=1}^n \sin\left(\frac{(a_i+1)k\pi}{r}\right)}{\sin\left(\frac{k\pi}{r}\right)^{2g-2+n}}.$$

Now how to get the R matrix (This boils down to solving a differential equation)? We will give a characterization which is explicit in terms of hypergeometric series. If you do the case when $r = 3$ you get exactly 2 hyper geometric series that appear in Faber-Zagier, and Pixton's relations.

There is an Euler field:

$$\xi = \begin{pmatrix} & & 2 \\ & 2 & \\ 2 & & \end{pmatrix},$$

and then theres a grading operator:

$$\mu = \frac{1}{2r} \begin{pmatrix} -(r-2) & & & \\ & -(r-4) & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & r-2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The equation that determines the R matrix is

$$[R_{m+1}, \xi] = (m - \mu)R_m.$$

So to find the unique R matrix you have solve the last formula explicitly. You can almost never do this but in this example you can. Here is a hyper-geometric series

$$B_{r,a}(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{((2i-1)r - 2(a+1))((2i-1)r + 2(a+1))}{i} \right) \left(\frac{-z}{16r^2} \right)^m.$$

there is an even part, $B_{r,a}^{\text{even}}$ and an odd part $B_{r,a}^{\text{odd}}$ and

$$R_a^a(z) = B_{r,a}^{\text{even}}(z) \quad R_a^{r-2-a}(z) = B_{r,a}^{\text{odd}}(z).$$

We see for $r = 3$:

$$R(z) = \begin{pmatrix} B_{3,0}^{\text{even}} & B_{3,1}^{\text{odd}} \\ B_{3,0}^{\text{odd}} & B_{3,1}^{\text{even}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Conjecture: (Janda, Pandharipande, Pixton, Zvonkine)

$$r^{g-1}W_{g,n}^r(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in H^{2(g-1)}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$$

such that $\sum a_i = 2g - 2$.

Theorem 0.0.5. *For all large r the expression above is a polynomial in r .*

Take constant term of $r^{g-1}W_{g,n}^r(a_1, \dots, a_n)$. This is a class in $H^{2(g-1)}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$.

The conjecture is that this class is $\overline{H}_{g,a_1,\dots,a_n} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$.

This conjecture is going to be proved using results of Janda and Zvonkine.