

MANIFOLDS WITH SPECIAL HOLONOMY
LECTURE 3:
HYPERKÄHLER AND QUATERNION-KÄHLER

ROBERT L. BRYANT

DUKE UNIVERSITY

13 AUGUST 2003

Now, I want to move down the list a bit, using what we know about the Calabi-Yau case. I'll skip the $\mathfrak{sp}(m) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1)$ case and come back to it.

n	$\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{so}(n)$	$K(\mathfrak{h})$ as an \mathfrak{h} -module
n	$\mathfrak{so}(n)$	$\mathbb{R} \oplus S_0^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \oplus W_n(\mathbb{R}^n)$
$n = 2m > 2$	$\mathfrak{u}(m)$	$\mathbb{R} \oplus S_0^{1,1}(\mathbb{C}^m)^{\mathbb{R}} \oplus S_0^{2,2}(\mathbb{C}^m)^{\mathbb{R}}$
$n = 2m > 2$	$\mathfrak{su}(m)$	$S_0^{2,2}(\mathbb{C}^m)^{\mathbb{R}}$
$n = 4m > 4$	$\mathfrak{sp}(m) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1)$	$\mathbb{R} \oplus S^4(\mathbb{C}^{2m})^{\mathbb{R}}$
$n = 4m > 4$	$\mathfrak{sp}(m)$	$S^4(\mathbb{C}^{2m})^{\mathbb{R}}$
$n = 7$	\mathfrak{g}_2	$V^{0,2} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{77}$
$n = 8$	$\mathfrak{spin}(7)$	$V^{0,2,0} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{168}$

3. Symplectic Unitary Holonomy. The subgroup $\mathrm{Sp}(m) \subset \mathrm{SO}(4m)$ is defined as the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(4m, \mathbb{R})$ that preserves the pair of forms on $\mathbb{C}^{2m} = \mathbb{R}^{4m}$ defined as

$$\omega_0 = \frac{1}{2} i (dz^1 \wedge \overline{dz^1} + \cdots + dz^{2m} \wedge \overline{dz^{2m}})$$

$$\Omega_0 = dz^1 \wedge dz^2 + dz^3 \wedge dz^4 + \cdots + dz^{2m-1} \wedge dz^{2m}.$$

Since

$$\Omega_0^m = m! dz^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz^{2m} = m! \Upsilon_0,$$

and since $\mathrm{SU}(2m)$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(4m, \mathbb{R})$ that preserves the pair (ω_0, Υ_0) on $\mathbb{C}^{2m} = \mathbb{R}^{4m}$, $\mathrm{Sp}(m)$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{SU}(2m)$.

Though $\mathrm{Sp}(1) = \mathrm{SU}(2)$, the group $\mathrm{Sp}(m)$ is a proper subgroup of $\mathrm{SU}(2m)$ when $m > 1$. In fact, $\mathrm{Sp}(m)$ is a compact simple Lie group and a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(m, \mathbb{C})$, the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(4m, \mathbb{R})$ consisting of the linear transformations that fix Ω_0 .

The rank of $\mathrm{Sp}(m)$ is m and its dimension is $2m^2 + m$. It acts irreducibly on $\mathbb{R}^{4m} = \mathbb{C}^{2m}$ and, moreover, transitively on the unit sphere S^{4m-1} .

Quaternionic Structures There is another interpretation (or definition) of $\mathrm{Sp}(m)$ that may be more enlightening. For indicial symmetry, write

$$\omega_1 = \omega, \quad \omega_2 = \mathrm{Re}(\Omega), \quad \omega_3 = \mathrm{Im}(\Omega),$$

and note that each ω_i is a nondegenerate 2-form on \mathbb{R}^{4m} . This implies that there are maps $J_i : \mathbb{R}^{4m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{4m}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ so that

$$\omega_1(J_2x, y) = \omega_3(x, y),$$

$$\omega_2(J_3x, y) = \omega_1(x, y),$$

$$\omega_3(J_1x, y) = \omega_2(x, y).$$

You can check that $J_i^2 = -1$ and $J_i J_j = -J_j J_i = -J_k$ whenever (i, j, k) is an even permutation of $(1, 2, 3)$. (Also, $J_i \in \mathrm{SO}(4m)$.) Moreover, the associated inner product g satisfies

$$g(x, y) = \omega_i(x, J_i y) \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3.$$

So, thinking of \mathbb{R}^{4m} as \mathbb{H}^m , we see that $\mathrm{Sp}(m)$ is the set of \mathbb{H} -linear orthogonal transformations of \mathbb{H}^m .

Definition: A triple of nondegenerate 3-forms (η_1, η_2, η_3) on a real vector space V will be said to be a *hyper-unitary structure* on V if the equations

$$\eta_1(J_2x, y) = \eta_3(x, y),$$

$$\eta_2(J_3x, y) = \eta_1(x, y),$$

$$\eta_3(J_1x, y) = \eta_2(x, y).$$

define linear maps $J_i : V \rightarrow V$ that satisfy $J_i^2 = -1$ and that $J_i J_j = -J_j J_i = -J_k$ whenever (i, j, k) is an even permutation of $(1, 2, 3)$ and, if, moreover, the expressions

$$\eta_1(x, J_1y) = \eta_2(x, J_2y) = \eta_3(x, J_3y)$$

all agree and define a positive definite inner product \langle, \rangle on V .

Proposition: V has a hyper-unitary structure if and only if $\dim V$ is divisible by 4. Moreover, all hyper-unitary structures on a vector space V are isomorphic.

Hyper-Kähler structures. Suppose that (M^{4m}, g) is a Riemannian manifold whose holonomy is conjugate to a subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(m)$.

By the holonomy principle, there will be three nondegenerate 2-forms, say $(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)$ on M that are parallel with respect to g such that, at each point $x \in M$, they define a hyper-unitary structure on $T_x M$.

Of course, these 2-forms are closed and, in fact, (M, g, J_i, ω_i) is Kähler for $i = 1, 2$, and 3 !

In fact, even more is true: For any constants $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ such that $\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2 = 1$, the data $(M, g, J_\lambda, \omega_\lambda)$ is Kähler, where

$$J_\lambda = \lambda_1 J_1 + \lambda_2 J_2 + \lambda_3 J_3 \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_\lambda = \lambda_1 \omega_1 + \lambda_2 \omega_2 + \lambda_3 \omega_3 .$$

(We often say that g is hyper-Kähler.)

By now, the following ‘converse’ should not be surprising:

Theorem: Suppose that a manifold M has a triple of **closed** 2-forms $(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)$ such that, at each point $x \in M$, they define a hyper-unitary structure on $T_x M$. Then these three forms are parallel with respect to the associated metric g . (Whose holonomy is therefore conjugate to a subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(m)$ where $\dim M = 4m$.) (As usual, the proof will indicate how to construct such examples, at least locally.)

Sketch of proof: By the algebraic properties of hyper-unitary triples, we know that $\dim M = 4m$ for some integer m .

Moreover, setting $\Omega = \omega_2 + i\omega_3$, we see that the complex-valued $2m$ -form $\Upsilon = \frac{1}{m!} \Omega^m$ is decomposable as a complex valued form, satisfies $\Upsilon \wedge \bar{\Upsilon} \neq 0$ and is closed.

By a previous argument, we know that Υ is a holomorphic volume form on M for a unique (integrable) complex structure J (which happens to equal J_1).

Next, Ω itself is of type $(2, 0)$ with respect to this complex structure J and closed, so it is holomorphic with respect to the underlying complex structure.

We can now apply the holomorphic Darboux theorem to see that each point of M lies in a neighborhood U on which there exist coordinates $z : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2m}$ such that

$$\Omega_U = dz^1 \wedge dz^{m+1} + dz^2 \wedge dz^{m+2} + \cdots + dz^m \wedge dz^{2m}.$$

We've also seen that (M, g, J_1, ω_1) is Kähler, so locally, there is a function f on U so that

$$U^*(\omega_1) = \frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z^j \partial \bar{z}^k} dz^j \wedge d\bar{z}^k \quad \text{and} \quad U^*(g) = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z^j \partial \bar{z}^k} dz^j \odot d\bar{z}^k$$

where

$$H_f = \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z^j \partial \bar{z}^k} \right) > 0.$$

is the complex Hessian matrix of the function f .

Finally, the condition that $(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)$ define a hyper-unitary structure at each point imposes many more equations on f than just the Monge-Ampere equation. In fact, this becomes the system of equations:

$${}^t H_f \begin{pmatrix} 0_m & I_m \\ -I_m & 0_m \end{pmatrix} H_f = \begin{pmatrix} 0_m & I_m \\ -I_m & 0_m \end{pmatrix}.$$

This turns out to be $2m^2 - m$ second order nonlinear equations on f . (Taking determinants of both sides of this equation gives the Monge-Ampere equation that defines $SU(2m)$ -holonomy metrics.)

Conversely, if f on $U \subset \mathbb{C}^{2m}$ satisfies this system of equations and has positive definite Hessian H_f , then the metric g defined on U by

$$g = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z^j \partial \bar{z}^k} dz^j \odot d\bar{z}^k$$

will have ω_1 , and $\Omega = \omega_2 + i\omega_3$ as parallel 2-forms on U , so its holonomy will be a subgroup of $Sp(m)$.

Local Properties of HyperKähler: $(M^{4m}, \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)$.

- (1) Involutive PDE analysis implies that the general solution of the Hessian equation depends on $2m$ ‘arbitrary’ functions of $2m + 1$ (real) variables.
- (2) The ‘generic’ solution has holonomy equal to $\mathrm{Sp}(m)$.
- (3) The associated Riemannian manifold (M, g) is Ricci-flat.
- (4) The associated Riemannian manifold (M, g) supports many different calibrations, e.g., $\phi_p(\lambda) = \frac{1}{p!}\omega_\lambda^p$ and the real part of Υ_λ , and all of these calibrate many submanifolds of (M, g) .
- (5) The structure $(M, \Omega) = (M, \omega_2 + i\omega_3)$ is a holomorphic symplectic manifold.

Global Examples:

Calabi's Example: Looking for a rotationally invariant example on \mathbb{C}^{2m} won't work (we already know that you'll get $SU(2m)$ -holonomy anyway).

However, there is a natural holomorphic symplectic manifold that does have a high degree of symmetry: $X = T^*(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^m)$.

The group $SU(m+1)$ acts on $T^*(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^m)$ and (because $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^m$ is a rank one symmetric space), its general orbit is a (real) hypersurface in $T^*(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^m)$ the level sets of the Hermitian norm function $\rho : T^*(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^m) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Calabi's Idea: Look for a hyperKähler structure on $T^*(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^m)$ of the form $(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)$ where $\Omega = \omega_2 + i\omega_3$ is the canonical holomorphic symplectic structure on $T^*(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^m)$ and where

$$\omega_1 = i\partial\bar{\partial}(f(\rho))$$

for some function f of one variable (defined by some ODE).

Result: This works. There is such a function f and the resulting structure is complete on $T^*(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^m)$.

Compact examples. A hyperKähler structure $(M, \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)$ is a Kähler manifold (M, g, J_1, ω_1) that has a parallel holomorphic symplectic form $\Omega = \omega_2 + i\omega_3$.

It is not difficult to show that if ϕ is any holomorphic p -form on M and M is compact, then ϕ is also g -parallel:

$$\nabla^* \nabla \phi = \bar{\partial}^* \bar{\partial} \phi + \text{Ric} \cdot \phi,$$

but $\text{Ric}(g) = 0$ since g has holonomy in $\text{Sp}(m) \subset \text{SU}(m)$.

If the holonomy of g is to be all of $\text{Sp}(m)$, then the only holomorphic differential forms on M are the powers of Ω .

Proposition: Let M^{4m} be a simply-connected, compact complex manifold that admits a Kähler metric and whose algebra of holomorphic forms is generated by a holomorphic symplectic form $\Omega = \omega_2 + i\omega_3$. Then M supports a Kähler structure (g, J_1, ω_1) such that $(M, \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)$ is hyperKähler and g has holonomy $\text{Sp}(m)$.

Proof: Apply Yau's theorem to M with the holomorphic volume form $\Upsilon = \frac{1}{m!} \Omega^m$ and some Kähler form $\omega_0 \in \Omega_+^{1,1}(M)$.

Thus, let (ω_1, Υ) be the Calabi-Yau structure on M where

$$\omega_1 = \lambda\omega_0 + i\partial\bar{\partial}f.$$

for some constant $\lambda > 0$ and function f on M .

By argument above, Ω is g -parallel for the underlying metric g of (ω_1, Υ) , so the holonomy of g is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(m)$.

If the holonomy acts irreducibly, then by Berger's classification, it must be $\mathrm{Sp}(m)$.

If the holonomy were to act reducibly, then by de Rham and Berger, the holonomy would be of the form

$$\mathrm{Sp}(m_1) \times \mathrm{Sp}(m_2) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{Sp}(m_k)$$

for some $m_1 + m_2 + \cdots + m_k = m$. But, if $k > 1$, we could write

$$\Omega = \Omega_1 + \cdots + \Omega_k$$

where the Ω_i are nonzero holomorphic 2-forms. By hypothesis, the only holomorphic 2-forms on M are the multiples of Ω , so $k = 1$.

Explicit examples: Finally, several methods of constructing simply-connected, compact Kähler manifolds whose holomorphic forms are generated by a holomorphic symplectic form are known via algebraic geometry.

The first and most famous example is to start with a K3 surface X_2 , take a symmetric product

$$Y_m = X_2^{(m)} = (X_2 \times X_2 \times \cdots \times X_2) / S_m$$

and then resolve the singularities of Y_m in a nice way, getting the desired manifold X_m .

The holomorphic volume forms on the factors pull up to the product and add to give a symplectic 2-form Ω that survives through the quotient and resolution to define a symplectic 2-form on X_m .

Now need to go back to pick up the “quaternionic-Kähler” case.

Dimension	Group	Invariant forms (generators)
n	$SO(n)$	$1 \in \Lambda^0, *1 \in \Lambda^n$
$n = 2m$	$U(m)$	$1 \in \Lambda^0, \omega \in \Lambda^2$
$n = 2m$	$SU(m)$	$1 \in \Lambda^0, \omega \in \Lambda^2, \phi, \psi \in \Lambda^m$
$n = 4m$	$Sp(m) \cdot Sp(1)$	$1 \in \Lambda^0, \Phi \in \Lambda^4$
$n = 4m$	$Sp(m)$	$1 \in \Lambda^0, \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3 \in \Lambda^2$
$n = 7$	G_2	$1 \in \Lambda^0, \phi \in \Lambda^3, *\phi \in \Lambda^4$
$n = 8$	$Spin(7)$	$1 \in \Lambda^0, \Phi \in \Lambda^4$

4. Quaternionic-Kähler Holonomy: Since $J_1, J_2, J_3 \in \text{SO}(4m)$ don't commute, they don't belong to $\text{Sp}(m) \subset \text{SO}(4m)$. Instead, they generate a group isomorphic to $\text{Sp}(1)$

$$\text{Sp}(1) = \{ \lambda_0 I_{4m} + \lambda_1 J_1 + \lambda_2 J_2 + \lambda_3 J_3 \mid \lambda_0^2 + \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2 = 1 \}$$

that commutes with $\text{Sp}(m) \subset \text{SO}(4m)$.

The group jointly generated by $\text{Sp}(m)$ and this $\text{Sp}(1)$ is denoted $\text{Sp}(m) \cdot \text{Sp}(1) \subset \text{SO}(4m)$. This group does not leave the 2-forms ω_i invariant, but does leave the 4-form

$$\Phi_0 = \frac{1}{6} (\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2 + \omega_3^2)$$

invariant. (The $\frac{1}{6}$ is chosen to make Φ_0 have comass 1.)

Conversely, when $m > 1$, the group $\text{Sp}(m) \cdot \text{Sp}(1)$ can be *defined* as the subgroup of $\text{GL}(4m, \mathbb{R})$ that fixes Φ_0 .

If V is a vector space of dimension $4m$, a form $\Psi \in \Lambda^4(V^*)$ will be said to be a *quaternionic* 4-form if it is equivalent to Φ_0 under some linear isomorphism $V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{4m}$.

n	$\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{so}(n)$	$K(\mathfrak{h})$ as an \mathfrak{h} -module
n	$\mathfrak{so}(n)$	$\mathbb{R} \oplus S_0^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \oplus W_n(\mathbb{R}^n)$
$n = 2m > 2$	$\mathfrak{u}(m)$	$\mathbb{R} \oplus S_0^{1,1}(\mathbb{C}^m)^{\mathbb{R}} \oplus S_0^{2,2}(\mathbb{C}^m)^{\mathbb{R}}$
$n = 2m > 2$	$\mathfrak{su}(m)$	$S_0^{2,2}(\mathbb{C}^m)^{\mathbb{R}}$
$n = 4m > 4$	$\mathfrak{sp}(m) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1)$	$\mathbb{R} \oplus S^4(\mathbb{C}^{2m})^{\mathbb{R}}$
$n = 4m > 4$	$\mathfrak{sp}(m)$	$S^4(\mathbb{C}^{2m})^{\mathbb{R}}$
$n = 7$	\mathfrak{g}_2	$V^{0,2} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{77}$
$n = 8$	$\mathfrak{spin}(7)$	$V^{0,2,0} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{168}$

Local Properties of Quaternionic-Kähler manifolds: Let (M^{4m}, Φ) ($m > 1$) be a manifold endowed with a quaternionic form $\Phi \in \Omega^4(M)$ (i.e., Φ_x is quaternionic on $T_x M$ for all $x \in M$). Let g be the associated metric (assumed not locally symmetric).

- (1) If Φ is g -parallel, then g is Einstein.
- (2) If $\text{Scal}(g) \neq 0$, then holonomy of g is $\text{Sp}(m) \cdot \text{Sp}(1)$.
- (3) If $\text{Scal}(g) = 0$, then holonomy of g lies in $\text{Sp}(m)$.
- (4) When $m > 2$, $d\Phi = 0$ implies that Φ is g -parallel.
- (5) When g has holonomy $\text{Sp}(m) \cdot \text{Sp}(1)$, the form Φ can be constructed via ‘reduction’ from a hyperKähler structure $(N^{4m+4}, \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)$ with an S^1 -symmetry.
- (6) The general (local) metric g with holonomy $\text{Sp}(m) \cdot \text{Sp}(1)$ depends on $2m$ functions of $2m+1$ (real) variables.
- (7) All compact examples with $\text{Scal} > 0$ with $m = 2$ or 3 are Riemannian symmetric spaces.
- (8) No compact examples with $\text{Scal} > 0$ are known that are not Riemannian symmetric spaces.