

Dp-finite fields reading seminar

paper VI, §4,5 — part 2

Sylvy Anscombe

IMJ-PRG, Paris
Research Member, DDC, MSRI

sylvy.anscombe@imj-prg.fr

Theorem 4.10, 4.13

Let (K, τ) be W -topological. Let τ_1, \dots, τ_n be the local components of τ .

1. Each τ_i has a unique V -topological coarsening τ_i^V .
2. Map $\tau_i \mapsto \tau_i^V$ is bijection between local components of τ and V -topological coarsenings of τ .
3. τ is an independent sum of the τ_i .

Theorem (Theorem 4.13)

Let τ be a W -topology on a field K . Let τ_1, \dots, τ_n be the local components of τ . Then τ is an independent sum of the τ_i .

Theorem (Theorem 4.13)

Let τ be a W -topology on a field K . Let τ_1, \dots, τ_n be the local components of τ . Then τ is an independent sum of the τ_i .

Proof of 4.13

Fix an ultrapower K^* of K . Let R, R_1, \dots, R_n be the \bigvee -definable rings corresponding to $\tau, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$. By the dictionary, the R_i are key localizations of R . For each i , let $S_i = R_1 \cap \dots \cap R_i$. Note:

- $S_1 = R_1$ and $S_n = R$ (by Proposition 4.5),
- each S_i is a K -subalgebra of K^* which is \bigvee -definable over K , and each S_i is a W_n ring since $S_i \supseteq R$ (by Lemma 2.7 in paper V).

By dictionary, S_i corresponds to a W -topology σ_i . Note

- $\sigma_1 = \tau_1$ and $\sigma_n = \tau$.

The key localizations of S_i are R_1, \dots, R_i , so the local components of σ_i are τ_1, \dots, τ_i .

Consider the following claim....

Proof of 4.13

Claim

σ_i is an independent sum of σ_{i-1} and τ_i .

Proof of claim

First, to show independence of σ_{i-1} and τ_i . Let τ_j^V denote the unique V -topological coarsening of τ_j . By Theorem 4.10, the set of V -topological coarsenings of σ_{i-1} is

$$\{\tau_1^V, \dots, \tau_{i-1}^V\}.$$

Note that τ_i^V is not in this set! So σ_{i-1} and τ_i have no common V -topological coarsening. Since also they are both coarsenings of τ which is a W -topology, it follows that they are independent (by Theorem 7.16, paper V).

Proof of 4.13

Proof of claim

Second, to show that σ_{i-1} and τ_i generate σ_i . Let's work in K^* , where $\sigma_{i-1}^*, \tau_i^*, \sigma_i^*$ denote the topologies corresponding to S_{i-1}, R_i, S_i . By definition $S_i = S_{i-1} \cap R_i$. For any nonzero a there are nonzero b, c such that

$$bS_{i-1} \cap cR_i \subseteq aS_i.$$

For $a = b = c$, equality! This proves

$$\forall U \in \sigma_i^* \exists V \in \sigma_{i-1}^* \exists W \in \tau_i^* : V \cap W \subseteq U.$$

Conversely,

$$\forall V \in \sigma_{i-1}^* \forall W \in \tau_i^* \exists U \in \sigma_i^* : U \subseteq V \cap W,$$

simply because we can take $U = V \cap W$. (Note $V, W \in \sigma_i^*$ since σ_i^* is finer than σ_{i-1}^* and τ_i^* .)

By properties of local sentences, both the above hold for $\sigma_{i-1}, \tau_i, \sigma_i$ in place of their ultra-counterparts. Therefore σ_i is generated by σ_{i-1} and τ_i as required. \square_{claim}

discrete

τ_{disc}

V-top

$\tau_1^V \cdots \tau_n^V$

loc. comp.

$\tau_1 \cdots \tau_n$

τ

VI, Corollary 4.15

VI, Corollary 4.15

Let (K, τ) be W -topological.

1. If $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$ and the squaring map $X^2 : K^\times \rightarrow K^\times$ is an open map, then τ is local and has a unique V -topological coarsening.
2. If $\text{char}(K) = p > 0$ and the Artin-Schreier map $\mathcal{P} : K \rightarrow K$ is an open map, then τ is local and has a unique V -topological coarsening.

VI, Corollary 4.15

VI, Corollary 4.15

Let (K, τ) be W -topological.

1. If $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$ and the squaring map $X^2 : K^\times \rightarrow K^\times$ is an open map, then τ is local and has a unique V -topological coarsening.
2. If $\text{char}(K) = p > 0$ and the Artin-Schreier map $\mathcal{P} : K \rightarrow K$ is an open map, then τ is local and has a unique V -topological coarsening.

Proof

Let τ_1, \dots, τ_n be local components of τ . Suppose $n > 1$, for a contradiction. By Thm.4.13, τ is independent sum of τ_1, \dots, τ_n .

1. Suppose $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$. (Cf V.6.9) Use ‘weak approximation’ to find $x \in K^*$ s.t.
 - x infinitesimally close to 1 wrt τ_1 , and
 - x infinitesimally close to -1 wrt τ_2, \dots, τ_n .

Thus x^2 is infinitesimally close to 1 wrt τ_1, \dots, τ_n , hence wrt τ . But x is neither infinitesimally closed to ± 1 with respect to τ . Thus X^2 not τ -open map – \perp .

VI, Corollary 4.15

VI, Corollary 4.15

Let (K, τ) be W -topological.

1. If $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$ and the squaring map $X^2 : K^\times \rightarrow K^\times$ is an open map, then τ is local and has a unique V -topological coarsening.
2. If $\text{char}(K) = p > 0$ and the Artin-Schreier map $\mathcal{P} : K \rightarrow K$ is an open map, then τ is local and has a unique V -topological coarsening.

Proof (cont.)

2. Suppose $\text{char}(K) = p > 0$. (Cf V.6.9) Use ‘weak approximation’ to find $x \in K^*$ s.t.
 - x infinitesimally close to 0 wrt τ_1 , and
 - x infinitesimally close to 1 wrt τ_2, \dots, τ_n .

Thus $\mathcal{P}(x)$ is infinitesimally close to 0 wrt τ_1, \dots, τ_n , hence wrt τ . But x is infinitesimally close to no root of \mathcal{P} in K . Thus \mathcal{P} not τ -open – \perp .

Black box from II [Proposition 5.17]

K unstable dp-finite, with monster $\mathbb{K} \succ K$. Let I_K set of additive K -infinitesimals.

1. I_K is an additive subgroup of K .
2. $I_K = I_K \cdot I_K$, where $I_K \cdot I_K = \{\sum_{\text{finite}} x_i y_i \mid x_i, y_i \in I_K\}$.
3. $1 + I_K$ is multiplicative subgroup of K^\times , and $-1 \notin I_K$.
4. For every $n \geq 1$,

$$X^n : 1 + I_K \longrightarrow I_K$$

is surjective.

5. If $\text{char}(K) = p > 0$, then

$$\mathcal{P} : I_K \longrightarrow I_K$$

is surjective.

VI, Corollary 4.16

$(K, +, \cdot, \dots)$ is an expansion of a field, allowed to be trivial expansion.

VI, Corollary 4.16 (part a)

1. If $(K, +, \cdot, \dots)$ unstable dp-finite, then the canonical topology is local W -topology.
2. If $(K, +, \cdot, \dots)$ unstable dp-finite, then it admits a unique definable V -topology.

Proof

Let τ be canonical topology on K . By V.6.3, (K, τ) is W_n -topological, $\text{dp-rk} = n$. By Black Box

- For every $U \in 1 + \tau$ there exists $V \in 1 + \tau$ s.t. $V \subseteq U^{(2)}$.
- (Case $\text{char}(K) = p > 0$) For every $U \in \tau$ there exists $V \in \tau$ s.t. $V \subseteq \mathcal{P}(U)$.

By 4.15, τ is local and has a unique V -topological coarsening. Done for 1.!

By V.6.9, V -topological coarsenings of τ are exactly the definable V -topologies. Done for 2.!

3. If $(K, +, \cdot, v)$ dp-finite valued field, then v is henselian.
4. If $(K, +, \cdot)$ dp-finite field, neither finite nor ACF nor RCF, then K admits a non-trivial definable henselian valuation.
5. The conjectural classification of dp-finite fields holds!

Let's take a look at IV.6.4.